

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/730,612	12/05/2000	Dave Stephens	ORCL-2000-063-01	7393
7590 08/22/2005			EXAMINER	
WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP			FADOK, MARK A	
Third Floor Two North Market Street San Jose, CA 95113			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3625	
			DATE MAILED: 08/22/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/730,612	STEPHENS ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Mark Fadok	3625			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 May 2005</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under	<i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application	l.				
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	∑ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) 🗌	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Inform Paper						

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

The examiner is in receipt of applicant's response to office action mailed 12/23/2004, which was received, 5/23/2005. Acknowledgement is made to the amendment to claims 1 and 10 leaving claims 1-19 as pending in the instant application. The applicant's arguments and amendments have been carefully considered, but were not found to be persuasive; therefore, the previous rejection modified as necessitated by amendment follows:

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blinn (5,897,622) in view of Official Notice.

Blinn discloses all the all the features of the instant claims except as follows:

Blinn teaches a common interface that allows multiple merchants to customize their web presents yet offers common modules for accomplishing a web presents (see abstract FIG 2 and summary). Blinn however does not teach the use of XML as a communications protocol. It was old and well known at the time of the instant invention

Art Unit: 3625

to use XML as a web protocol, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include in Blinn the use of XML, because the use of XML improves the functionality of the web and would provide greater flexibility in organizing and presenting information than is possible with the older HTML document coding method.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 5/23/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Blinn does not teach wherein the common instance is divided into a plurality of sub-schemas. The examiner disagree and directs the applicant's attention to col 5, lines 36-45, where the common instance (Merchant System 120) is broken down into sub-schemas (portions of the relational database database used for storing a merchants data).

Applicant further argues that Blinn does not teach "wherein each of exchanges is implemented within a respective one of the sub-schemas providing a respective partial view of the common instance. The examiner disagrees and directs the applicant's attention to col 3, lines 25-30, where different information (merchants special promotions) are available only to the shoppers who access the merchants information from within the common instance (FIG 2, item 120).

Application/Control Number: 09/730,612

Art Unit: 3625

Conclusion

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

the examiner should be directed to Mark Fadok whose telephone number is (571) 272-

6755. The examiner can normally be reached Monday thru Thursday 8:00 AM to 5:00

PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Wynn Coggins can be reached on (571) 272-7159.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571)

272-3600.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

**Commissioner for Patents** 

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Va. 22313-1450

or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300

[Official communications; including

After Final communications labeled

Art Unit: 3625

"Box AF"]

(571) 273-6755 [Informal/Draft communications, labeled

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"]

Mark Fadok

**Primary Examiner**